Case 1:20-cv-07990-MKV Document 1 Filed 09/25/20 Page 1 of 17

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200

Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

DANIEL VENTURA GARCIA, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff,

COLLECTIVE ACTION UNDER 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

-against-

ECF Case

JOHN DOE CORP. (D/B/A MAMA'S PIZZA (A.K.A MAMA'S FOOD COURT)), MANNY GARCIA, and MISHAN DOE,

Defendants.
 X

Plaintiff Daniel Ventura Garcia ("Plaintiff Ventura" or "Mr. Ventura"), individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C., upon his knowledge and belief, and as against John Doe Corp. (d/b/a Mama's Pizza (a.k.a Mama's Food Court)), ("Defendant Corporation"), Manny Garcia and Mishan Doe, ("Individual Defendants"), (collectively, "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff Ventura is a former employee of Defendants John Doe Corp. (d/b/a Mama's Pizza (a.k.a Mama's Food Court)), Manny Garcia, and Mishan Doe.
- Defendants own, operate, or control a pizzeria, located at 705 8th Avenue, New York,
 N.Y. 10036.
- 3. Upon information and belief, individual Defendants Manny Garcia and Mishan Doe, serve or served as owners, managers, principals, or agents of Defendant Corporation and, through this corporate entity, operate or operated the pizzeria as a joint or unified enterprise.

- 4. Plaintiff Ventura was employed as a porter for his first two months of employment and then became a cook and a food preparer.
- 5. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff Ventura worked for Defendants in excess of 40 hours per week, without appropriate overtime and spread of hours compensation for the hours that he worked.
- 6. Rather, Defendants failed to maintain accurate recordkeeping of the hours worked and failed to pay Plaintiff Ventura appropriately for any hours worked, either at the straight rate of pay or for any additional overtime premium.
- 7. Further, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Ventura the required "spread of hours" pay for any day in which he had to work over 10 hours a day.
- 8. Defendants' conduct extended beyond Plaintiff Ventura to all other similarly situated employees.
- 9. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Ventura and other employees to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without providing the overtime compensation required by federal and state law and regulations.
- 10. Plaintiff Ventura now brings this action on behalf of himself, and other similarly situated individuals, for unpaid overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), and for violations of the N.Y. Labor Law §§ 190 et seq. and 650 et seq. (the "NYLL"), and the "spread of hours" and overtime wage orders of the New York Commissioner of Labor codified at N.Y. COMP. CODES R. & REGS. tit. 12, § 146-1.6 (herein the "Spread of Hours Wage Order"), including applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11. Plaintiff Ventura seeks certification of this action as a collective action on behalf of himself, individually, and all other similarly situated employees and former employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 12. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and the FLSA, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff Ventura's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 13. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because all, or a substantial portion of, the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, Defendants maintain their corporate headquarters and offices within this district, and Defendants operate a pizzeria located in this district. Further, Plaintiff Ventura was employed by Defendants in this district.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

- 14. Plaintiff Daniel Ventura Garcia ("Plaintiff Ventura" or "Mr. Ventura") is an adult individual residing in Bronx County, New York.
- 15. Plaintiff Ventura was employed by Defendants at Mama's Pizza from approximately August 2018 until on or about March 15, 2020.
- 16. Plaintiff Ventura consents to being a party plaintiff pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and brings these claims based upon the allegations herein as a representative party of a prospective class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Defendants

- 17. At all relevant times, Defendants owned, operated, or controlled a pizzeria, located at 705 8th Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10036.
- 18. Upon information and belief, John Doe Corp. (d/b/a Mama's Pizza (a.k.a Mama's Food Court)) is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 705 8th Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10036.

- 19. Defendant Manny Garcia is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Manny Garcia is sued individually in his capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Manny Garcia possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Ventura, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.
- 20. Defendant Mishan Doe is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Mishan Doe is sued individually in his capacity as a manager of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Mishan Doe possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Ventura, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendants Constitute Joint Employers

- 21. Defendants operate a pizzeria located in Midtown Manhattan in New York City.
- 22. Individual Defendants, Manny Garcia and Mishan Doe, possess operational control over Defendant Corporation, possess ownership interests in Defendant Corporation, or control significant functions of Defendant Corporation.
- 23. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method, and share control over the employees.
- 24. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiff Ventura's (and other similarly situated employees') working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect

Case 1:20-cv-07990-MKV Document 1 Filed 09/25/20 Page 5 of 17

to the employment and compensation of Plaintiff Ventura, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.

- 25. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff Ventura (and all similarly situated employees) and are Plaintiff Ventura's (and all similarly situated employees') employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and the NYLL.
- 26. In the alternative, Defendants constitute a single employer of Plaintiff Ventura and/or similarly situated individuals.
- 27. Upon information and belief, Individual Defendant Manny Garcia operates

 Defendant Corporation as either an alter ego of himself and/or fails to operate Defendant

 Corporation as an entity legally separate and apart from himself, by among other things:
 - a) failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operate Defendant Corporation as a Corporation,
 - b) defectively forming or maintaining the corporate entity of Defendant Corporation,
 by, amongst other things, failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining
 appropriate corporate records,
 - c) transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants,
 - d) operating Defendant Corporation for his own benefit as the sole or majority shareholder,
 - e) operating Defendant Corporation for his own benefit and maintaining control over this corporation as a closed Corporation,
 - f) intermingling assets and debts of his own with Defendant Corporation,
 - g) diminishing and/or transferring assets of Defendant Corporation to avoid full liability as necessary to protect his own interests, and
 - h) Other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.

Case 1:20-cv-07990-MKV Document 1 Filed 09/25/20 Page 6 of 17

- 28. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiff Ventura's employers within the meaning of the FLSA and New York Labor Law. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Ventura, controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiff Ventura's services.
- 29. In each year from 2018 to 2020, Defendants, both separately and jointly, had a gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).
- 30. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their enterprise were directly engaged in interstate commerce. As an example, numerous items that were used in the pizzeria on a daily basis are goods produced outside of the State of New York.

Individual Plaintiff

- 31. Plaintiff Ventura is a former employee of Defendants who was employed at first as a porter for his first two months and then became a cook and a food preparer.
- 32. Plaintiff Ventura seeks to represent a class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

Plaintiff Daniel Ventura Garcia

- 33. Plaintiff Ventura was employed by Defendants from approximately August 2018 until on or about March 15, 2020.
- 34. Defendants employed Plaintiff Ventura as a porter for his first 2 months of employment he then became a cook and a food preparer.
- 35. Plaintiff Ventura regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, such as dough, vegetables, meats and cheeses and other supplies produced outside the State of New York.
 - 36. Plaintiff Ventura's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.

- 37. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Ventura regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 38. From approximately August 2018 until on or about October 2018, Plaintiff Ventura worked from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 11:00 p.m., 6 days per week (typically 42 hours per week).
- 39. From approximately October 2018 until on or about November 2018, Plaintiff Ventura worked from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 12:00 a.m., 6 days a week (typically 48 hours per week).
- 40. From approximately December 2018 until on or about December 23, 2018, Plaintiff Ventura worked from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 12:00 a.m., 3 days per week and from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 1:00 a.m., 3 days a week (typically 51 hours per week).
- 41. From approximately December 24, 2018 until on or about December 31, 2018, Plaintiff Ventura worked from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 12:00 a.m., 3 days a week and from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 1:00 a.m., 4 days a week (typically 60 hours per week).
- 42. From approximately January 2019 until on or about March 2019, Plaintiff Ventura worked from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 1:00 a.m. or 2:00 a.m., 6 days per week (typically 54 to 60 hours per week).
- 43. From approximately April 2019 until on or about December 2019, Plaintiff Ventura worked from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 2:00 a.m., 4 days per week and from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 3:00 a.m., 2 days per week (typically 62 hours per week).
- 44. From approximately January 2020 until on or about March 7, 2020, Plaintiff Ventura worked from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 1:00 a.m., 4 days per week and from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 2:00 a.m., 2 days per week (typically 56 hours per week).

Case 1:20-cv-07990-MKV Document 1 Filed 09/25/20 Page 8 of 17

- 45. From approximately March 8, 2020 until on or about March 15, 2020, Plaintiff Ventura worked from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 1:00 a.m., 2 days per week, from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 2:00 a.m., 1 day per week, and from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 10:00 p.m., 3 days per week (typically 46 hours per week).
 - 46. Throughout his employment, Defendants paid Plaintiff Ventura his wages in cash.
- 47. From approximately 2018 until on or about February 2019, Defendants paid Plaintiff Ventura \$13 per hour.
- 48. From approximately February 2019 until on or about March 15, 2020, Defendants paid Plaintiff Ventura \$15 per hour.
 - 49. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Ventura any breaks or meal periods of any kind.
- 50. Plaintiff Ventura was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device such as punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked.
- 51. No notification, either in the form of posted notices or other means, was ever given to Plaintiff Ventura regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.
- 52. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Ventura an accurate statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 53. Defendants did not give any notice to Plaintiff Ventura, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Ventura's primary language), of his rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

Defendants' General Employment Practices

54. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Ventura (and all similarly situated employees) to work in excess of 40 hours a week without paying him appropriate spread of hours pay and overtime compensation as required by federal and state laws.

- 55. Plaintiff Ventura was a victim of Defendants' common policy and practices which violate his rights under the FLSA and New York Labor Law by, *inter alia*, not paying him the wages he was owed for the hours he worked.
- 56. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.
 - 57. Defendants paid Plaintiff Ventura his wages in cash.
- 58. Defendants failed to post at the workplace, or otherwise provide to employees, the required postings or notices to employees regarding the applicable wage and hour requirements of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 59. Upon information and belief, these practices by Defendants were done willfully to disguise the actual number of hours Plaintiff Ventura (and similarly situated individuals) worked, and to avoid paying Plaintiff Ventura properly for his full hours worked.
- 60. Defendants engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of minimizing labor costs and denying employees compensation by knowingly violating the FLSA and NYLL.
- 61. Defendants' unlawful conduct was intentional, willful, in bad faith, and caused significant damages to Plaintiff Ventura and other similarly situated former workers.
- 62. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Ventura and other employees with accurate wage statements at the time of their payment of wages, containing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL §195(3).

Case 1:20-cv-07990-MKV Document 1 Filed 09/25/20 Page 10 of 17

Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Ventura and other employees, at the time of hiring and on or before February 1 of each subsequent year, a statement in English and the employees' primary language, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by New York Labor Law §195(1).

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION CLAIMS

- 64. Plaintiff Ventura brings his FLSA overtime compensation and liquidated damages claims as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all similarly situated persons (the "FLSA Class members"), i.e., persons who are or were employed by Defendants or any of them, on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the complaint in this case (the "FLSA Class Period").
- 65. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Ventura and other members of the FLSA Class were similarly situated in that they had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay them the required overtime pay at a one and one-half their regular rates for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek under the FLSA and willfully failing to keep records under the FLSA.
- 66. The claims of Plaintiff Ventura stated herein are similar to those of the other employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

- 67. Plaintiff Ventura repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 68. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Ventura's employers (and employers of the putative FLSA Class members) within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Ventura (and the FLSA Class members), controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for his employment.
- 69. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.
- 70. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. § 203 (r-s).
- 71. Defendants, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1), failed to pay Plaintiff Ventura (and the FLSA Class members) overtime compensation at a rate of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.
- 72. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Ventura (and the FLSA Class members), overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 73. Plaintiff Ventura (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

74. Plaintiff Ventura repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

Case 1:20-cv-07990-MKV Document 1 Filed 09/25/20 Page 12 of 17

- 75. Defendants, in violation of N.Y. Lab. Law § 190 *et seq.*, and supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, failed to pay Plaintiff Ventura overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.
- 76. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Ventura overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.
 - 77. Plaintiff Ventura was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE SPREAD OF HOURS WAGE ORDER OF THE NEW YORK COMMISSIONER OF LABOR

- 78. Plaintiff Ventura repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 79. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Ventura one additional hour's pay at the basic minimum wage rate before allowances for each day Plaintiff Ventura's spread of hours exceeded ten hours in violation of NYLL §§ 650 *et seq.* and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. §§ 146-1.6.
- 80. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Ventura an additional hour's pay for each day Plaintiff Ventura's spread of hours exceeded ten hours was willful within the meaning of NYLL § 663.
 - 81. Plaintiff Ventura was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NOTICE AND RECORDKEEPING

REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

82. Plaintiff Ventura repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

- 83. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Ventura with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Ventura's primary language), containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 84. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Ventura in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE WAGE STATEMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 85. Plaintiff Ventura repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 86. With each payment of wages, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Ventura with an accurate statement listing each of the following: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 87. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Ventura in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Ventura respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendants by:

- (a) Designating this action as a collective action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all putative class members apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to promptly file consents to be Plaintiffs in the FLSA claims in this action;
- (b) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Ventura and the FLSA Class members;
- (c) Declaring that Defendants violated the recordkeeping requirements of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff Ventura's and the FLSA Class members' compensation, hours, wages, and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- (d) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the FLSA were willful as to Plaintiff Ventura and the FLSA Class members;
- (e) Awarding Plaintiff Ventura and the FLSA Class members damages for the amount of unpaid overtime compensation and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable;
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff Ventura and the FLSA Class members liquidated damages in an amount equal to 100% of his damages for the amount of unpaid overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (g) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Ventura;
- (h) Declaring that Defendants violated the spread-of-hours requirements of the NYLL and supporting regulations as to Plaintiff Ventura;

Case 1:20-cv-07990-MKV Document 1 Filed 09/25/20 Page 15 of 17

- (i) Declaring that Defendants violated the notice and recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL with respect to Plaintiff Ventura's compensation, hours, wages and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- (j) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the NYLL and spread of hours wage order were willful as to Plaintiff Ventura;
- (k) Awarding Plaintiff Ventura damages for the amount of unpaid overtime compensation, and for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages, as well as awarding spread of hours pay under the NYLL as applicable
- (l) Awarding Plaintiff Ventura damages for Defendants' violation of the NYLL notice and recordkeeping provisions, pursuant to NYLL §§198(1-b), 198(1-d);
- (m) Awarding Plaintiff Ventura liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the total amount of overtime compensation and spread of hours pay shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL § 663 as applicable; and liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL § 198(3);
- (n) Awarding Plaintiff Ventura and the FLSA Class members pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as applicable;
- (o) Awarding Plaintiff Ventura and the FLSA Class members the expenses incurred in this action, including costs and attorneys' fees;
- (p) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL § 198(4); and
 - (q) All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Ventura demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.

Case 1:20-cv-07990-MKV Document 1 Filed 09/25/20 Page 16 of 17

Dated: New York, New York

September 25, 2020

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: /s/ Michael Faillace

Michael Faillace [MF-8436] 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200

Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Employment and Litigation Attorneys

60 E 42 nd Street, Suite 4510	Telephone: (212) 317-1200
New York, New York 10165	Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

Faillace@employmentcompliance.com

August 16, 2020

BY ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE

TO: Clerk of Court,

Date / Fecha:

I hereby consent to join this lawsuit as a party plaintiff.

(Yo, por medio de este documento, doy mi consentimiento para formar parte de la demanda como uno de los demandantes.)

Name / Nombre:

Daniel Ventura Garcia

Legal Representative / Abogado:

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Signature / Firma:

30 de Julio de 2020